

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 09/741,668 | 12/19/2000 | Akira Nonaka | 450100-02904 | 450100-02904 7062 | |
| 20999 | 7590 04/29/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG | | | DAVIS, ZACHARY A | | |
| 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | | | 2137 | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| _ |
|---|
| |
| " |
| |
| |

| N | 1 |
|---|---|
| 9 | t |

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|---------------|--|
| 09/741,668 | NONAKA ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Zachary A. Davis | 2137 | |

| | LAdillilei | Ait Oille | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Zachary A. Davis | 2137 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of | date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f |). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension of (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any entry and some a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal | of the appeal. | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | but prior to the date of filing a brid | of will not be entered | hecause | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | onsideration and/or search (see NC | OTE below); | because | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be | | educina or simplifyin | the issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | etter form for appear by materially i | educing or simplifying | 3 the 1330e3 101 | | | | |
| (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | ejected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: Independent claims 1, 17, and 57 have be | een amended to include a limitation | , further describing th | e "usage control | | | | |
| status data", that was not previously present in an (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | ny of the claims. This is a new issu | e that would require i | <u>further search</u> . | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | 121. See attached Notice of Non-C | ompliant Amendmen | t (PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | s): | | - | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | , | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro |) | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | · | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because the control of the con | out before or on the date of filing a | Notice of Anneal will | not be entered | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | avit or other evidence | is necessary | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apports ory and was not earlier presented. | eal and/or appellant f See 37 CFR 41.33(d) | ails to provide a (1). | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or atta | ched. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b | ut does NOT place the application | in condition for allow | ance because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | amoheu |) Caldula | | | | | |
| | | CALDWELL | | | | | |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER